See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/281941219

### Diagnosis of hantavirus infection in humans

Article *in* Expert Review of Anti-infective Therapy · August 2015 D0I: 10.1586/14787210.2015.1047825

CITATIONS		READS	
21		1,921	
3 author	s, including:		
	Salim Máttar		Camilo Guzman Teran
Y	Universidad de Córdoba (Colombia)		Universidad de Córdoba (Colombia)
	265 PUBLICATIONS 1,763 CITATIONS		23 PUBLICATIONS 72 CITATIONS
	SEE PROFILE		SEE PROFILE

#### Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:

Project

Análisis de las universidades colombianas de acuerdo con el ranking SCImago 2010-2012 View project

Presence of the invasive gene invA in Salmonella spp. strains isolated from food in several cities of the Colombian Caribbean area View project



#### Salim Mattar\*<sup>1</sup>, Camilo Guzmán<sup>1</sup> and Luis Tadeu Figueiredo<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Instituto de Investigaciones Biológicas del Trópico, Universidad de Córdoba, Monteria, Colombia <sup>2</sup>School of Medicine of Riberao Preto, University of Sao Paulo, Sao Paulo, Brazil \*Author for correspondence: mattarsalim@hotmail.com

# Diagnosis of hantavirus infection in humans

Expert Rev. Anti Infect. Ther. Early online, 1-8 (2015)

Rodent-borne hantaviruses (family Bunyaviridae, genus Hantavirus) cause hantavirus pulmonary syndrome in the Americas and hemorrhagic fever with renal syndrome in Europe and Asia. The viruses are transmitted to humans mainly by inhalation of virus-contaminated aerosols of rodent excreta and secreta. Classic clinical hemorrhagic fever with renal syndrome occurs in five phases: fever, hypotension, oliguria, polyuria, and convalescence. Hantavirus pulmonary syndrome is a severe acute disease that is associated with respiratory failure, pulmonary edema and cardiogenic shock. The diagnosis of hantavirus infections in humans is based on clinical and epidemiological information as well as laboratory tests. We review diagnosis for hantavirus infections based on serology, PCR, immunochemistry and virus culture.

Keywords: differential diagnosis • epidemiology • hantavirus • reservoir • symptoms

Hantaviruses (family Bunyaviridae, genus Hantavirus) are rodent-borne zoonotic viruses that produce two major clinical syndromes in humans: hemorrhagic fever with renal syndrome (HFRS) in Asia and Europe, and hantavirus pulmonary syndrome (HPS) in the Americas. Diseases compatible with HFRS were recognized as early as 1930 in China and Russia, but the first isolation of a causative agent did not occur until Hantaan virus was isolated in Korea [1]. Since HPS was initially characterized in the USA in 1993, and since the associated hantavirus (Sin Nombre virus [SNV]) was identified, increasing numbers of human cases and SNV-related viruses have been identified in most countries of North and South America [2]. There have been more than 640 HPS cases in the USA with a fatality rate of 36% (http://www.cdc.gov/hantavirus/ surveillance/index.html). HPS is caused by any of at least 25 hantavirus genotypes distributed throughout the Americas. All hantaviruses that cause HPS are hosted by rodents of the family Cricetidae. In general, each hantavirus is associated with a different rodent species or subspecies [3,4] (TABLE 1). Puumala virus (PUUV) and Dobrava-Belgrade virus (DOBV) are among the most important viruses causing HFRS in Europe. In Asia, Hantan virus (HTNV) and Seoul virus (SEOV) are important. In North America SNV is the most prevalent virus. In South America, hantaviruses

have been described from Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Paraguay, Peru, Uruguay and Venezuela [3-7]; in sum, all South American countries except for Ecuador, Surinam and Guyana. In Central America, hantaviruses are described from Panama, Honduras and Costa Rica [2.8-10]. Recently, antigenically and genetically distinct hantaviruses have been described from shrews, moles and bats [11-15]. Nevertheless, only the rodent-borne hantaviruses are known to cause human disease.

# Laboratory diagnosis of hantavirus infection

The diagnosis of hantavirus infection in humans is based on clinical and epidemiological information as well as laboratory tests. A definitive diagnosis cannot be based solely on clinical findings, especially in cases where disease is mild to moderate [16,17]. Laboratory testing should be performed on samples from patients with fever of unknown origin, severe myalgia, thrombocytopenia, renal failure or respiratory distress, and patients living in hantavirus disease-endemic regions, or persons with recent outdoor activities during which there was possible exposure to rodents or their excreta [18,19]. Because hantaviruses differ in their geographic distribution, course of infection and likely outcome, specific and accurate laboratory diagnostic tests are important [20]. Laboratory diagnosis of hantavirus



Genotype	Disease	Geographic distribution	Rodent(s) involved in transmission	Ref.
Hantaan	HFRS	Asia, East Russia, South China	Apodemus agrarius	[1]
Seoul	HFRS	Worldwide	Rattus norvegicus	[44]
Puumula	Nephropathia epidemica (mild form of HFRS)	Europe, Russia, Korea	Myodes glareolus	[45]
Dobrava-Belgrade	HFRS	Balkans countries	Apodemus flavicollis; A. ponticus	[46]
Sin Nombre	HPS	North America	Peromyscus maniculatus	[47]
Araraquara Juquitiba	HPS HPS	Brazil	Necromys lasiurus Oligoryzomys nigripes	[48]
Andes Maciel	HPS None known	Argentina, Chile Argentina	Oligoryzomys longicaudatus Necromys benefactus	[49,50]
Rio Mamoré	HPS	Bolivia, Peru	Oligoryzomysmicrotis	[51,30]
Choclo virus Calabazo	HPS None known	Panama Panama	<i>Oligoryzomys fulvescens</i> Zygodontomys brevicauda	[8]
Laguna negra	HPS	Paraguay	Calomys laucha	[52]
Caño Delgadito	HPS	Venezuela	Sigmodon alstoni	[6]

Table 1. Main genotypes, diseases, distribution and hosts of major hantaviruses causing human disease.

HFRS: Hemorrhagic fever with renal syndrome; HPS: Hantavirus pulmonary syndrome

infection is based on four primary categories of tests: serology, reverse transcription (RT)-PCR, immunochemistry and virus culture.

#### Serologic diagnosis

The most practical approach for the laboratory diagnosis of hantavirus infection is based on serologic tests [21-23]. The three structural proteins of hantaviruses (Gn, Gc and N) can induce a high level of IgM antibodies, which are detectable at the onset of symptoms [24].

#### Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay

The ELISA is the most commonly used serologic test to identify antibodies of the IgM class (acute phase) and IgG (convalescent stage). Antibodies of the IgG class against hantaviruses persist for the life of the individual and can be used in retrospective studies [22,25]. Thus, while seroconversion to a hantavirus can be determined by using IgG or IgM ELISA, only IgM detection indicates recent exposure [26]. IgM antibody appears relatively early after infection; timing of appearance varies depending on incubation period, dosage, immune status and multiple additional factors. After several weeks, IgM begins to decline over 3–6 months. IgG is detectable slightly later (sometimes concurrently), but titers may remain elevated for many years [4]. These dynamics appear to be similar for most hantaviruses.

Hantavirus antigens in most current serologic tests use protein antigens obtained by recombinant DNA techniques (TABLE 2). The use of recombinant proteins avoids risk to laboratory personnel who otherwise would need to handle large quantities of virus in the preparation of conventional antigens. These antigens are mostly N proteins, but Gn and Gc proteins have also been produced. The N protein has been expressed and purified from several recombinant expression systems, primarily bacterial [27].

An ELISA method based on a recombinant viral antigen was successfully used to investigate hantavirus infections in rodents and human clinical samples. For the development of ELISAs in the Americas, recombinant antigens of SNV (CDC, USA) and ANDV (Instituto Carlos Malbrán, Argentina), have been produced by cloning and expression of genes encoding viral proteins [4,28]. In Brazil, Figueiredo *et al.* [24,27] produced a recombinant N protein from Araraquara virus, which is being used as an ELISA antigen (TABLE 2). In Argentina, Maciel virus, which is not known to be pathogenic, has been cultured for use in serodiagnosis; Maciel virus is cross-reactive with all recognized New World hantaviruses [2].

In 2004, we detected IgG antibodies reactive with SNV by ELISA in 14% of serum samples from 88 rural volunteers in northwestern Colombia [29]. In 2013, we detected IgG antibodies to Maciel or Araraquara hantaviruses in 8.4% of 286 agricultural workers in Colombia [2]. These prevalences are much higher than those found in serosurveys in North America. For example, even when high-risk occupational groups were chosen (e.g., mammalogists), only 0.5% of North Americans had antibody reactive with SNV 30. Other than being rural workers, the Colombian volunteers did not have specific occupational risks. This suggests that rural workers in northwestern Colombia are frequently exposed to one or more hantaviruses [2].



_					
- TI	\ W /		L W /	1.0.1	
B 7	L'./	. –	L'/	11	

Table 2. Immund	o-enzymatic assays and	antigens for	the diagnosis	s of hantavirus infection.	
Tests	Antigen type	Sensitivity	Specificity	Commercial name	Ref.
ELISA	Sin Nombre Seoul Hantaan Dobrava Puumala	95.1%	94.1%	Hantavirus IgG and IgMDxSelect <sup>TM</sup> ELISA Kits Focus Diagnostics	[53]
ELISA	Hantaan, Dobrava, Seoul Puumala	96.7%	98.7%	Hantavirus IgG/IgM Combo Test <sup>®</sup> (Colloidal gold immunochromatographic assay, Xiamen Biotech, China)	[31]
ELISA	Araraquara (ARAVrN)	97.2%	100%	In-house ELISA	[27]
IFA	Puumala/Hantaan	98%	91%	Progen <sup>®</sup> IFA	[20]
Immunoblot assay	Puumala, Hantaan, Dobrava-Belgrade, Seoul	96%	100%	kit Mikrogen <sup>®</sup> , Neuried, Germany	[32]

IFA: Immunofluorescence assay.

#### Immunofluorescence assay

The initial test used for serodiagnoses of HFRS in Europe and Asia was IFA smearing hantavirus fixed as an antigen on microscope slides. The use of virus-infected cells for serologic tests is not widely used because cell culture infections require biosafety 3 laboratories (BSL-3) [30]. The IFA is often used in Europe (e.g., Euroimmun Anti-Hantavirus-IIFT Mosaic II Test, Lübeck, Germany) (TABLE 2). Diluted serum samples are added to reaction fields on biochips containing uninfected cells or cells infected with one or more hantaviruses that are being tested for.

#### Immunoblot assay

Immunoblot tests (TABLE 2) have also been tested in Europe (e.g., recomLine<sup>®</sup> Bunyavirus IgG/IgM test kit; Mikrogen, Neuried, Germany). Diluted sera are incubated on recomLine test strips enclosing six antigens with complete nucleocapsid proteins from HTNV and PUUV, or a recombinant N-terminus of the nucleocapsid antigen from PUUV, HTNV, DOBV and SEOV. A control band is integrated for the antibody class (IgG or IgM).

#### Focus reduction neutralization test

Although the assays described above are used to determine whether a patient has been infected with a hantavirus, none of these tests can determine which hantavirus was responsible for the infection because significant humoral cross-reaction occurs among hantavirus antibodies [30,31]. The specific infecting hantavirus can be identified by focus reduction neutralization test (FRNT), which is the gold standard for hantavirus testing [30,32]. FRNT can detect and measure neutralizing antibodies by comparing serum titers with the relevant hantaviruses [31,32] and although it distinguishes hantaviruses with serum from experimentally infected rodents, it was less specific when serum from acute phase patients was tested [31]. Because FRNT requires cell culture, it has to be conducted under BSL-3. The assay is also time consuming and labor intensive [30,32].

#### Molecular detection of hantaviruses

Molecular diagnostic methods for hantavirus infections also are widely used. The methods are based on sensitive, practical RT-PCR. Often the diagnosis is by post-mortem, using blood and tissue from fatal cases [5,33–35]. A distinct advantage of PCR is that the PCR product may be sequenced to identify the virus and conduct phylogenetic analysis. Using PCR, hantavirus RNA is detectable 7–10 days after onset of symptoms. RT-PCR also has been used in the diagnosis of hantavirus infections, using primers specific for the hantavirus S and M segments (TABLE 3).

PCR provides results within 24 h, allowing rapid detection of hantavirus infection and is especially useful in cases where the disease is rapidly evolving and cases may progress from a febrile prodrome to severe respiratory disease in < 24 h [31,36]. Nevertheless, PCR using blood samples is only useful in the early stages of infection when patients are viremic [30,37,38]. Real-time RT-PCR is a sensitive tool for early detection of hantavirus RNA even prior to the appearance of IgM antibodies. Hantavirus real-time RT-PCR, when combined with immunologic techniques, can contribute to pathogenesis studies and understanding antiviral treatments [39].

#### Virus isolation in cell culture

Because virus isolation from human samples is rare, it is not an option in the diagnosis of human hantavirus infection [30]. However, the isolation of hantaviruses for research purposes may be achieved using clinical materials that are inoculated onto Vero E-6 cell cultures. One to 2 weeks after inoculation, hantavirus-infected cells may be identified using immunofluo-rescence. The isolation is laborious and time consuming, involves high risk of viral contamination in the laboratory and requires biosecurity measures to protect the handler from exposure to aerosols [40–43]. In Brazil, for example, there are no reports of the isolation of hantaviruses; only the detection of their genetic material by RT-PCR.

	المسمحة المممين بالاستعاد		a infantian in Fr	
Tania 3 PLR hrimars trac		annosis or nantavirii	S INTACTION IN FI	Irone and Americ
			3 1111 CCUOIT 111 EV	

Virus	Primer	Nucleotide sequence primer	Local annealing
Sin Nombre	S208L S1094R	5′-CCAAACTCGGAGAACTCAAAC-3′ 5′-TCAGATGTTCCCACAGATTTTG-3	246 to 369 S
Hantaan		F-HantaS (5-ACACGAACAACAGCTCGTGAC-3_ R-HantaR (5AGGCTCAAGCCCTGTTGGATC-3_	262 to 283 S
Hantaan		HTNV, 5'-TGGGCTGCAAGTGC-3', 5'- ACATGCTGTACAGCCTGTGCC-3'; HTNV, 5'-TGGGCTGCAAGTGCATCAGAG-3' 5'-ATGGATTACAACCCCAGCTCG-3	373bp to M
Seoul		SEOV, 5'-GATATGAATGATTGYTTTGT-3', 5'- CGATCAGGGTCTYTCCA-3' SEOV, 5'- GATATGAATGATTGYTTTGT-3', 5'-GCAAAGTTACATTTYTTCCT-3'	242bp to M
Andes		ANDV RTPCR Fwd primer 5'-TGGCTACAAAACCAGTTGATCCAA-3' ANDV RTPCR Rev primer 5'-CATCCAGGACATTCCCATATCTCAAA-3'	213 - 236 to S
Araraquara and Juquitiba	N-C <sup>†</sup>	CAA AAC CAG TTG ATC AAC AGG G	213 - 236 to S
Araraquara and Juquitiba	N-S ‡	GAT GAA TCA TCC TTG AAC CTT AT	454 - 477 to S
Araraquara and Juquitiba	Gn-C	GGG CAG TAA GTG CTG AAA C	1301 - 1320 to M
Araraquara and Juquitiba	Gn-S	ACA TTT AGC AGT TTG CCA TGG G	1602 - 1625 to M
<sup>†</sup> Complementary to the RNA seque <sup>‡</sup> Similar to RNA (sense) [5 36 37]	ence.		

# Differential diagnosis

The differential diagnosis of hantavirus infection depends on the phase of infection of the patient. In the prodromal phase, diagnosis basically poses differential viral states with nonspecific or febrile syndromes of undetermined origin. For the cardiopulmonary phase, in which pulmonary and cardiac involvement are established, diagnosis must be made considering differentiation from pathologies involving acute respiratory failure. These pathologies are presented as differential diagnoses both in the prodromal and cardiopulmonary phases of HPS in immunocompetent patients (TABLE 4).

#### Severe atypical pneumonia

In the early phase of infection, the complete blood count is the laboratory test most useful for distinguishing hantavirus infection (especially HPS) from atypical pneumonias caused by *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*, *Chlamydia pneumoniae* and *Legionella* spp. Eighty-seven percent of HPS patients have hemoconcentration, leukocytosis and thrombocytopenia.

#### Pneumonia influenza

Pneumonia influenza often occurs in outbreaks during the winter and has more upper respiratory airway symptoms and signs, including cough, sore throat, runny nose and conjunctivitis. Diagnostic confirmation is accomplished by technical immunofluorescence.

#### Heart failure

Heart failure should be accompanied by a history of hypertension, rheumatic disease or valvular or coronary arteriosclerosis. The clinical evaluation includes dyspnea (which can be mild, moderate or severe), cough, edema of limbs or venous congestion. Radiology may show cardiomegaly, pulmonary edema distribution butterfly wings or pleural effusion.

#### Leptospirosis

The pulmonary hemorrhagic phase of leptospirosis sparsely courses with jaundice or renal disease or hemorrhagic manifestations in other tissues. Leptospirosis is generally confirmed by serologic diagnosis.

#### Rickettsiosis

Rickettsiosis are typified by maculopapular rash, which may evolve into hemorrhagic papules that can mimic other hemorrhagic fevers. In the laboratory, the leukocyte count is usually normal. The diagnosis relies on the presence of an inoculation eschar (tick bite). Signs may be multisystemic and may include pulmonary edema.

#### Conclusion

Three important methods exist for the etiologic diagnosis of hantavirus infections: i) serology: This is the method of choice for the diagnosis of hantavirus infections. The detection of IgG or IgM antibodies to hantavirus using ELISA is the method most commonly used in the diagnosis given that the majority of HPS cases show IgM antibodies during the acute period of the illness and they remain detectable for 6–8 months. The appearance of specific IgG can occur during or shortly after the appearance of IgM antibodies, they can remain at high levels for several years.

Expert Rev.

Rev. Anti Infect. Ther

antigen; in South America there appears to be antigenic similarity between different variants or circulating strains (Andes virus, Laguna Negra, Juquitiba, etc.). In North America, the antigen of SNV is the most used. However cross-reactivity has been reported with antigens in South America and PUUV, the most widely used antigen in Europe. The antigenic cross-reactivity between hantaviruses makes ELISA the most appropriate test not only for diagnosis, but also for the initial search for exposure to hantavirus in geographic areas without previous reports of infection. Immunofluorescence assays detect antibodies in the acute period of the disease and persist for years. The indirect immunofluorescence assay is useful for researching new hantaviruses because of the high cross-reactivity observed between hantaviruses by this technique. ii) Detection of viral antigen: Immunohistochemistry is a method of great utility in the demonstration of viral antigen in tissues, particularly in fatal cases in which there is no other type of sample. iii) Molecular detection: The use of RT-PCR allows the detection of viral RNA in whole blood, clot or tissues obtained in the first 10 days of the disease. The method is also useful for detecting viral RNA in tissues of infected rodents.

The sensitivity and specificity depend on the

pulmonary syndrome and hemor-

Table 4. Differential diagnosis, most frequent symptoms, common laboratory findings for hantavirus

<u>rhagic fever with renal syndrome.</u>

For the diagnosis, it is desirable to select primers that are complementary to gene sequences that are highly conserved between the different hantaviruses (e.g., the S segment that encodes the viral nucleoprotein). Post-mortem molecular diagnosis of hantavirus can be performed on samples of blood and organs, mainly lung and kidney. The isolation of the hantavirus is difficult due to its poor growth in Vero cells; for this reason, isolation is not used for diagnostic purposes.

#### Expert commentary

Hantaviruses are rodent-borne zoonotic viruses that produce two major clinical syndromes in humans: HFRS in Asia and Europe, and HPS in the Americas. Case fatality rates for HFRS can reach as high as 15% and for HPS can exceed 40%. Very recently, the occurrence of hantaviruses and HFRS was also shown in Africa.

In endemic areas, there is a set of clinical or laboratory signs that are pathognomonic of hantavirus infection, so acknowledgement

Diagnosis d	of	hantavirus	infection	in	humans
-------------	----	------------	-----------	----	--------

Review

	Latin Americ	a	USA – C	anada		Europ	ia – Asia	
Symptoms HPS	Differential diagnosis	Common laboratory findings	Symptoms HPS	Differential diagnosis	Common Iaboratory findings	Symptoms HFRS	Differential diagnosis	Common Iaboratory findings
ever (100%) Vyalgia (85– 95%) Nausea (73%) Diarhea (40%) Dizriness Abdominal Abdominal oain oulmonary edema Rash rash rash rash rash	Dengue Leptospirosis Arenavirus HF Malaria Ricketsia Preumonia Pacterial/viral pneumonia Bacterial/viral pneumonia Psittacosis Trichinosis Meningococcemia Heart failure Acute abdomen Typhoid fever	Atypical lymphocytes (inmunoblasts) Thrombocytopenia Leukocytosis Hemoconcentration Increased KPTT Increased KPTT Increased KPTT Increased Creatinine Hypoprothrombinemia Increase LDH Increase LDH Increase cPK Increase in liver enzyme Increased amylase Metabolic acidosis	Fever Myalgia Nausea/vomiting Cough Dizziness Arthralgia Shortness of breath	Dengue fever HIV Infection Leptospirosis Colorado tick fever Phosphine toxicity Bacterial pneumonia Mycoplasmal pneumonia Pneumonia Pneumonic plague Salicylate toxicity with pulmonary edema Tularemia	Low platelet count Atypical lymphocytes (immunoblasts) Elevated hematocrit Elevated WBC Left shift on WBC differential Low albumin Elevated AST (SGOT) Elevated ALT (SGPT)	Fever (94.5%), Abdominal pain (64.4%) Headache (50.7%) Conjunctival hemorrhage (74.0%), Flank tenderness (56.2%), Petechiae (56.2%) Abdominal and back pain Oliguria Renal insufficiency	Scarlet fever Acute abdomen Leptospirosis Scrub typhus Murine typhus Spotted fevers Septicemia Thrombocyto penic Purpura	Increase C-reactive protein Leukocytosis Thrombocytopenia Abnormal coagulation Increase values of BUN and creatinine Hypercalemia Elevation of hemoglobin and hematocrit
AST: Aspartate amin	otransferase; CPK: Creatine	e phosphokinase; HFRS: Hemorrh	lagic fever with renal synd	drome; KPTT: Partial tl	hromboplastin time; LD	H: Lactacte dehydroger	nase; WBC: White bloo	od cells.

of the local epidemiology and high clinical suspicion is necessary. Since initial clinical signs of the hantavirus and the symptoms are similar to those of many other tropical febrile illnesses, it is necessary to search for strategies of detection more sensitive and appropriate for the presence of these infections that could threaten the health of human populations.

Viral isolation of hantavirus is very difficult and therefore rarely used in current practice. Furthermore, this technique involves direct contact with the virus that is highly infectious, so it should be done only in laboratory biosafety level category four. RT-PCR specific to hantavirus can be used to diagnose the virus in the beginning of acute phase of the disease. However, the sensitivity of this test decreases in the recovery phase. In current practice, serology is the most common method for diagnosing the disease. Nearly all patients with SPH have a positive IgM titer at the time of evaluation. In a patient with a compatible clinical picture, the presence of an established ELISA or IFA-positive IgM allows a presumptive diagnosis, which should be confirmed with the increase in IgG or IgM titers in 2-4 weeks. On the other hand, immunological tests can also follow the evolution of the patient, detect undiagnosed prior infection and contribute to programs of epidemiologic surveillance in human beings and rodents for evaluation and control of this zoonosis.

lacking or non-existent. In order to better protect these communities of future outbreaks, surveillance and rapid diagnostic tests are needed to ensure a timely public health response. Immunological methods play an important role in epidemiological surveillance, however, the major disadvantage of these immunological tests is that production of the antigens used is infecting cell cultures, this means the restriction of BSL-3/4 and the variability that may exist between the antigens produced by different laboratories. For this reason, it would be of great value having a diagnostic method that does not involve the manipulation of the pathogenic virus in its development of DNA recombinant antigens. In the future, immunological methods based on recombinant antigens developed to diagnose hantavirus will be used in combination with different classes of real-time RT-PCR.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank JN Mills for critical review of the manuscript.

#### Financial and competing interests disclosure

Five-year view

Outbreaks of tropical diseases such as the hantavirus will still appear in environments where public health surveillance is

The authors have no relevant affiliations or financial involvement with any organization or entity with a financial interest in or financial conflict with the subject matter or materials discussed in the manuscript. This includes employment, consultancies, honoraria, stock ownership or options, expert testimony, grants or patents received or pending or royalties.

No writing assistance was utilized in the production of this manuscript.

## Key issues

- Hantaviruses are transmitted by rodents, humans become infected by inhaling aerosols of excreta and urine.
- Hantaviruses cause hemorrhagic fever with renal syndrome in Europe and Asia and with cardiopulmonary syndrome in the Americas.
  - The clinical course of HPS can be basically divided into three periods: a febrile prodrome, a cardiopulmonary stage and the convalescence. There is 14–17 days incubation period after exposure, which is followed by the prodrome phase, which usually lasts for 3–6 days with myalgia, malaise and fever of abrupt onset in the absence of cough and coryza. Additional symptoms seen at early stages could include mainly gastrointestinal manifestations, headache and chills.
  - Laboratory abnormalities include increased hematocrit, thrombocytopenia with neutrophilia and relative lymphopenia. The first to appear is thrombocytopenia, which can anticipate the respiratory failure of 1 or 2 days. Leukocytosis is later and more specific for progression to severe cases. There are changes in blood chemistry, increased lactate dehydrogenase and transaminases.
  - Detection of antigens or virus RNA is essential for early diagnosis in patients with hantavirus. Hantaviruses N-protein is the most abundant and conserved structural protein in infected cells and virions and it is the target commonly selected for the detection of IgG or IgM antibodies. Therefore, hantaviruses N-protein is more suitable for the development of monoclonal antibodies in acute or convalescent patients.
  - Detection of RNA viral by RT-PCR is required to confirm clinical or post-mortem cases.
  - Viral isolation is difficult and requires biosafety 3 and 4 laboratories (BSL-3/4).
  - The differential diagnosis of this syndrome in tropical countries include several atypical pneumonia, influenza, heart failure, malaria, dengue, arenavirus, leptospirosis and rikettsia.



Diagnosis of hantavirus infection in humans Review

#### References

- Papers of special note have been highlighted as: • of interest
- •• of considerable interest
- Lee HW, Lee PW, Johnson KM. Isolation of the etiologic agent of Korean Hemorrhagic fever. J Infect Dis 1978; 137(3):298-308
- Guzmán C, Mattar S, Levis S, et al. Prevalence of antibody to hantaviruses in humans and rodents in the caribbean region of colombia determined using araraquara and maciel virus antigens. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz 2013;108:167-71
- Levis S, Morzunov SP, Rowe JE, et al. Genetic diversity and epidemiology of hantaviruses in Argentina. J Infect Dis 1998;177(3):529-38
- Padula PJ, Rossi CM, Della Valle MO, et al. Development and evaluation of a solid-phase enzyme immunoassay based on Andes hantavirus recombinant nucleoprotein. J Med Microbiol 2000;49: 149-55
- Moreli LM, Sousa RL, Figueiredo LT. Detection of Brazilian Hantavirus by reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction ampliacation of N gene in patients with hantavirus cardiopulmonary syndrome. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz 2004;99(6):633-8

Expert Review of Anti-infective Therapy Downloaded from informahealthcare.com by 190.3.247.195 on 06/20/15 For personal use only.

- Fulhorst CF, Monroe MC, Salas RA, et al. Isolation, characterization and geographic distribution of Caño Delgadito Virus, a newly discovered South American hantavirus (family Bunyaviridae). Virus Res 1997; 51(2):159-71
- Rivas Y, Moros Z, Moron D, et al. The seroprevalences of anti-hantavirus IgG antibodies among selected Venezuelan populations. Ann Trop Med Parasit 2003;97:61-7
- Vincent J, Quiroz E, Gracia F, et al. Hantavirus pulmonary syndrome in Panama: identification of novel hantaviruses and their likely reservoirs. Virology 2000;277:14-19
- Milazzo ML, Cajimat MN, Hanson JD, et al. Catacamas virus, a hantaviral species naturally associated with Oryzomys couesi (Coues' oryzomys) in Honduras. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2006;75:1003-10
- Hjelle B, Anderson B, Torrez-Martinez N, et al. Prevalence and geographic genetic variation of hantaviruses of new world harvest mice (Reithrodontomys): Identification of a divergent genotype from a Costa Rican Reithrodontomys mexicanus. Virology 1995;207:452-9

- Arai S, Nguyen ST, Boldgiv B, et al. Novel bat-borne hantavirus, Vietnam. Emerg Infect Dis 2013;19(7):1159-61
- Yoshimatsu K, Arikawa J. Serological diagnosis with recombinant N antigen for hantavirus infection. Virus Res 2014;187: 77-83
- Kang HJ, Bennett SN, Sumibcay L, et al. Evolutionary insights from a genetically divergent hantavirus harbored by the European common mole (Talpa europaea). PLoS ONE 2009;4(7):e6149
- Vaheri A, Strandin T, Hepojoki J, et al. Uncovering the mysteries of hantavirus infections. Nat Rev Microbiol 2013;11(8): 539-50
- This is a review of hantavirus, which discussed the basic properties of molecular and cell biology of hantavirus and offers an overview of virus-induced pathology, it describes signs and symptoms of the disease, emphasizing on immunopathology and vascular problems.
- Weiss S, Witkowski PT, Auste B, et al. Hantavirus in bat, Sierra Leone. Emerg Infect Dis 2012;18(1):159-61
- Bi Z, Formenty PB, Roth CE. Hantavirus Infection: a review and global update. J Infect Developing Countries 2008;2:3-23
- Figueiredo LT, de Souza WM, Enria D, et al. Hantavirus and cardiopulmonary syndrome in South America. Virus Res 2014;187:43-54
- Muranyi W, Bahr U, Zeier M, et al. Hantavirus infection. J Am Soc Nephrol 2005;16:3669-79
- Fulhorst CH, Koster F, Enría D, et al. Hantavirus in tropical infectious diseases. Infec Chapter 2011;71:470-80
- Engler O, Klingström J, Aliyev E, et al. Seroprevalence of hantavirus infections in Switzerland in 2009: difficulties in determining prevalence in a country with low endemicity. Euro Surveill 2013;18(50): pii=20660
- This paper provides baseline data for future monitoring of hantavirus seroprevalence in Switzerland and it shows highlights of changes in the estimating of seroprevalence of these viruses in a country with very low endemicity.
- Bayard V, Kitsutani PT, Barria EO, et al. Outbreak of hantavirus pulmonary syndrome. Los Santos, Panama, 1999-2000. Emerg Infect Dis 2004;10(9):1635-42
- 22. Maes PE, Keyaerts J, Clement V, et al. Detection of Puumala hantavirus antibody

with ELISA using a recombinant truncated nucleocapsid protein expressed in Escherichia coli. Viral Immunol 2004;17(2): 315-21

- Kruger DH, Figueiredo LT, Klempa B, et al. Hantaviruses – globally emerging pathogens. J Clin Virol 2014. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. jcv.2014.08.033
- 24. Figueiredo LT, Moreli ML, Borges AA, et al. Diagnosis of hantavirus relies on demonstration of immune response, which becomes evident within 2 to 8 days of acute onset. Braz J Med Biol Res 2008;41:596-9
- Lundkvist A, Hörling J, Niklasson B. In Europe, the humoral response to Puumala (nephropathia epidemica) and Dobrava virus infection is investigated by viral protein specific immunoassays. Arch Virol 1993. 130(1-2):121-30. Available from: http://dx. doi.org/10.1007/ BF01319001PMid:8099274
- Mattar S, Garzon D, Tadeu L, et al. Serological diagnosis of hantavirus pulmonary syndrome in a febrile patient in Colombia. Int J Infect Dis 2014;25:20-203
- Figueiredo LT, Moreli ML, Borges AA, et al. Evaluation of an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay based on araraquara virus recombinant nucleocapsid protein. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2009;81(2):273-6
- Ksiazek TG, Peters PE, Rollin S, et al. Identification of a new North American hantavirus that causes acute pulmonary insufficiency. Am J Trop Med Hyg 1995; 52(2):117-23
- Mattar S, Parra M. Serologic evidence of hantavirus infection in humans, Colombia. Emerg Infect Dis 2004;10:2263-4
- This paper is important because it is the first serological evidence of the movement of hantavirus in Colombia in rural workers exposed to the virus and in rodents.
- Bharadwaj M, Botten J, Torrez-Martinez N, et al. Rio Mamore virus: genetic characterization of a newly recognized hantavirus of the pygmy rice rat, Oligoryzomys microtis, from Bolivia. Am J Trop Med Hyg 1997;57(3):368-74
- Nawaz M, Tian H, Xu B, et al. Analysis of an Outbreak of Hemorrhagic Fever with Renal Syndrome in College Students in Xi'an, China. Viruses 2014;6:507-15
- 32. Lederer S, Lattwein E, Hanke M, et al. Indirect Immunofluorescence Assay for the Simultaneous Detection of Antibodies against Clinically Important Old and New

World Hantaviruses. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 2013;7(4):e2157

- Jonsson B, Figueiredo M, Vapalahti O. A global perspective on hantavirus ecology, epidemiology and disease. Clin Microbiol 2010;23:412-41
- This review summarizes clinical features and current epidemiological and clinical findings, as well as concepts about immunology, pathogenesis and intervention strategies of human hantaviral diseases.
- Yoshimatsu AT, Yasuda K, Shimizu PS, et al. Rapid, whole blood diagnostic test for detecting anti-hantavirus antibody in rats. J Virol Methods 2013;193(1):42-9
- Noh JY, Cheong HJ, Song JY, et al. Clinical and Molecular Epidemiological Features of Hemorrhagic Fever with Renal Syndrome in Korea over a 10-year Period. J Clin Virol 2013;58(1):11-17
- This article emphasizes critical patients with FHRS and highlights the importance of managing the potentially fatal complications and biochemical changes in the course of the disease, in order to ensure a consistent and timely treatment.
- Ramanathan HN, Jonsson CB. New and Old World hantaviruses differentially utilize host cytoskeletal components during their life cycles. Virology 2008;374:138-50
- Bagamian KH, Towner JS, Mills JN, et al. Increased detection of sin nombre hantavirus RNA in antibody-positive deer mice from Montana, USA: Evidence of Male Bias in RNA Viremia. Viruses 2013;5: 2320-8
- Antunes Willemann MC, Oliveira SV. Risk factors associated with hantavirosis fatality:

a regional analysis from a case-control study in Brazil. Rev Soc Bras Med Trop 2014; 47(1):47-51

- This article is important because it shows the highlights of the medical practice in relation to emerging infectious diseases and discusses the need for better diagnostic tools desirable in tropical countries of low incomes.
- Srikiatkhachorn A, Spiropoulou CF. Vascular events in viral hemorrhagic fevers: a comparative study of dengue and hantaviruses. Cell Tissue Res 2014;355: 621-33
- 40. Du H, Wang PZ, Li J, et al. Clinical characteristics and outcomes in critical patients with hemorrhagic fever with renal syndrome. BMC Infect Dis 2014;14:191
- Manigold T, Vial P. Human hantavirus infections: epidemiology, clinical features, pathogenesis and immunology. Swiss Med Wkly 2014;144:w13937
- Krüger DH, Ulrich RG, Hofmann J. Hantaviruses as zoonotic pathogens in Germany. Dtsch Arztebl Int 2013; 110(27-28):461-7
- Zhang X, Chen HY, Zhu LY, et al. Comparison of Hantaan and Seoul viral infections among patients with hemorrhagic fever with renal syndrome (HFRS) in Heilongjiang, China. Scand J Infect Dis 2011;43:632-41
- Lee HW, Back LJ, Johson KM. Isolation of Hantaan virus, the etiologic agent of Korean hemorrhagic fever, from wild urban rats. J Infect Dis 1982;146(5):638-44
- Brummer-Korvenkontio M, Vaheri A, Hovi T, et al. Nephropathia epidemica: detection of antigen in bank voles and

serologic diagnosis of human infection. J Infect Dis 1980;141(2):131-4

- Avsic- Zupanc T, Xiao SY, Stojanovic R, et al. Characterization of Dobrava virus: a Hantavirus from Slovenia, Yugoslavia. J Med Virol 1992;38(2):132-7
- Nichol ST, Spiropoulu CF, Morzunov S, et al. Genetic identification of hantavirus associated with an outbreak of acute respiratory illnesses. Science 1993; 262(5135):914-17
- Jhonson AM, de Souza LT, Ferreira IB, et al. Genetic investigation of novel hantaviruses causing fatal HPS in Brazil. J Med Virol 1999;59(4):527-35
- Lopez N, Padula P, Rossi C, et al. Genetic identification of a new hantavirus causing severe pulmonary syndrome in Argentina. Virology 1996;220(1):223-6
- Calderon G, Pinni N, Bolpe J, et al. Hantavirus reservoirs host associated with peridomestics habitats in Argentina. Emerg Infect Dis 1999;56(6):792-7
- Hjelle B, Torrez Martinez N, Koster FT. Hantavirus pulmonary syndrome – related virus from Bolivia. Lancet 1996;347(8993): 57
- Levis S, Rave JE, Morzunov SP, et al. New hantaviruses causing hantavirus pulmonary syndrome in central Argentina. Lancet 1997;349(9057):998-9
- 53. Goeijenbier M, Hartskeerl RA, Reimerink J, et al. The hanta hunting study: underdiagnosis of Puumala hantavirus infections in symptomatic non-travelling leptospirosis-suspected patients in the Netherlands. Euro Surveill 2014;19(32): pii=20878

